GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services - Prakasam District - Disciplinary proceedings initiated against Sri P.Madhusudhana Rao, former Senior Assistant, O/o Tahsildar, C.S.Puram - AoCs issued - Inquiry Officer appointed - report submitted - Communicated - Further explanation submitted - Further action dropped - Orders - Issued.

****

REVENUE (VIG.III) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.925

Dated:10.10.2023

Read the following:

1. Procdgs of the Collector & District Magistrate, Prakasam, Lr.Rc.A1/1478/2015, Dt:29.04.2015.
2. CCLA's Progs.No.VS.II(2)/496/2019, Dt:17.08.2020.
3. CCLA's Progs.No.VS.II(2)/496/2019-1, Dt:22.09.2020.
4. Enquiry report of the DRO, Prakasam in Rc.No.A1/1478/2015 (e.563), Dt:01.08.2023.
5. CCLA's Ref.No.VS.II(1)/496/2019 (e-1100905), Dt:21.11.2022.
6. Remarks & records received from CCLA through e-file bearing Comp.No.1100905.

---***---

ORDER:

Whereas, in the reference 1st read above disciplinary proceedings have been instituted against Sri P.Madhusudhana Rao, former Senior Assistant, O/o Tahsildar, C.S.Puram, Prakasam District on the allegation of issue of Adangal and 1-B copies for Sy.No.47/1 for change of classification from Government land to Assigned land irregularly to 4 in-eligible persons using digital signature without verifying the revenue records and previous history of the above said land. The following article of charges has been issued on Sri P.Madhusudhana Rao, former Senior Assistant, O/o Tahsildar, C.S.Puram, Prakasam District. The Charged Officer has submitted explanation denying the Charge.

Article of Charge:

That Sri P.Madhusudana Rao while working as Mandal Revenue Inspector of C.S.Puram Mandal has given a false report to the Tahsildar, C.S.Puram authenticating that the land in Sy.No.47/4 and Sy.No.47/1 for change of classification from village site [Grama Kantam] to assigned land and caused to issue of Adangal and I-B in the name of Sri Maddisetty Ramanaiah s/o Peda Venkata Narasaiah. Being an enquiry officer at field level, the Revenue Inspector has given false report to the Tahsildar, C.S.Puram without making enquiry at field level.

2. And whereas, in the reference 2nd read above, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, A.P., Mangalagiri, has initiated common disciplinary proceedings against Sri P.Madhusudhana Rao, former Senior Assistant, O/o Tahsildar, C.S.Puram, Prakasam District and other charged officers involved in this matter. Further, as the explanation of the Charged Officers is not convincing, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, A.P., Mangalagiri has appointed the District Revenue Officer, Prakasam as Inquiry Officer to enquire into the Charges framed against the Charged officers in this case vide reference 3rd read above.

3. And whereas, in the reference 4th read above, the inquiry officer has submitted report stating that though the irregularity proved evidently in respect of Sy.No.47/4, either no substance available in the record nor no further evidences are forthcoming during the record of enquiry showing the recommendation of delinquent officer in respect of Sy.No.47/1. Hence, the Inquiry officer held that the charge is held proved partially against Sri P.Madhusudana Rao. While agreeing with the findings of the enquiry officer, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, A.P., Mangalagiri vide Ref 5th read above, communicated a copy of the Inquiry report to the charged officer for his written defence if any, under rule 21 (2) of A.P.C.S (CC&A) Rules, 1991.

4. In reply, the Charged Officer Sri P.Madhusudhana Rao, former Senior Assistant, O/o Tahsildar, C.S.Puram, Prakasam District has submitted that he has been engaged in Government flagship programme i.e. Janmabhoomi and consequentially acts of monitoring conducting of Grama Sabhas and disposing of numerous applications filed in Janmabhoomi programme pertaining to all villages of C.S.puram Mandal, fixing responsibility on him for the duty cost lie with vacant post of Mandal Revenue Inspector without any valid orders and without any feasibility to function which involved field duty is arbitrary, illegal and highly objectionable. By importing his personal opinion, the inquiry officer concluded his findings as 'charge held proved partially' and such act of mere relying the document duly excluding the prime facts viz. duty cost, grounds for appending his signature on that report is utter violation of principles of enquiry and untenable. He has requested to examine the issue in the light of the facts submitted as he has not committed any irregularity which is detrimental to the Government, he has not submitted any field enquiry report since he engaged and vested with job chart of Senior Assistant only. He has finally requested to consider the facts of the case and to drop the further course of action on the charge framed against him.

5. After careful examination of the matter, Government have convinced with the explanation of the Charged officer and decided to drop further action against the Charged Officer. Accordingly, Government here by drop further action against Sri P.Madhusudhana Rao, former Senior Assistant, O/o Tahsildar, C.S.Puram, Prakasam District.

6. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, A.P., Mangalagiri/ the Collector & District Magistrate, Prakasam District, Ongole shall take necessary action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

G.SAI PRASAD
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (FAC)

To
Sri P.Madhusudhana Rao, former Senior Assistant, O/o Tahsildar, C.S.Puram, Prakasam District **through** the District Collector, Prakasam District, Ongole.
The Collector & District Magistrate, Prakasam District, Ongole.
The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, A.P, Mangalagiri.
Sf/Sc.

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER